

The Honorable Benjamin H. Settle

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ABIDEMI RUFAL,

Defendant.

NO. CR19-258RAJ

**DECLARATION OF SPECIAL AGENT
ANDREA L. DESANTO**

I, Andrea L. DeSanto, hereby declare as follows:

1. I have served as a Special Agent with the Federal Bureau Investigation since 2006. I am the case agent principally responsible for this investigation. I am currently assigned to the Seattle Field Office. My primary duties include investigating violations of federal law, including but not limited to, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 (wire fraud), 1028A (aggravated identity Theft), 1956 (money laundering), 1957 (transactional money laundering), and conspiracy to commit these offenses. I previously worked on the Cyber squad, where I primarily investigated computer intrusions and other cybercrimes. My experience as an FBI agent includes the investigation of cases involving the use of computers and the Internet to commit crimes.

1 In addition to my experience with cybercrime investigations, I also have experience with
2 financial investigations.

3 2. My investigation determined that defendant Abidemi Rufai was the
4 operator of an email account with the address sandytangy58@gmail.com. As charged in
5 the Indictment and admitted in the Plea Agreement in this matter, my investigation
6 established that Mr. Rufai used the sandytangy58@gmail.com email account to submit
7 fraudulent claims for unemployment benefits using the stolen identities of other persons.

8 3. The United States Department of Labor Office of the Inspector General
9 (DOL-OIG) maintains a database known as the OIG Warehouse and Learning System
10 (OWLS). OWLS contains the data associated with the unemployment claims submitted to
11 each of the 54 State Workforce Agencies (SWAs) that administer federally-funded
12 unemployment programs. The OWLS database allows DOL-OIG to run searches and
13 retrieve claims data for individual claims based on a host of parameters. For example,
14 DOL-OIG can retrieve all claims nationwide that were filed using a certain email address
15 or that requested that benefits be deposited to a certain banks account. I have requested
16 data from the OWLS service dozens of times in my investigation and have found the data
17 to be accurate and reliable.

18 4. On May 12, 2021, DOL-OIG prepared an OWLS analysis identifying all
19 claims filed using the email address sandytangy58@gmail.com. A true and correct copy
20 of the DOL-OIG memorandum summarizing this analysis is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
21 As reflected on Exhibit A, sandytangy58@gmail.com was used to file 136 claims, which
22 resulted in payments from three SWAs in the total amount of \$357,951.

23 5. I also discovered during the course of my investigation that Mr. Rufai used
24 other email accounts to submit fraudulent unemployment claims. I used four criteria to
25 identify other accounts used by Mr. Rufai. First, I identified email accounts that were
26 linked by cookies with the sandytangy58@gmail.com account. If two accounts are linked
27 by cookies, this means that the same device (such as the same iPhone or iPad) was used
28 to access both accounts. In my training and experience, the fact that two accounts are

1 linked by cookies is very strong evidence that the accounts are operated by the same
2 person, or perhaps a close friend or family member. I obtained a list from Google of
3 email accounts linked by cookies to the sandytangy58@gmail.com account. I identified
4 34 different Gmail accounts that were linked to sandytangy58@gmail.com by cookies.

5 6. Second, I identified email accounts that were accessed using an IP address
6 that was also used to access sandytangy58@gmail.com. An internet protocol address, or
7 “IP address,” is a unique address assigned to a computer network or computer that
8 communicates over the internet. If two email accounts are accessed using the same IP
9 address at approximately the same time, this tends to show that the accounts are being
10 used by the same person, or two people using the same network. In this case, I requested
11 that DOL-OIG run an OWLS search to identify claims that were submitted using the
12 same IP address used to submit a fraudulent sandytangy58@gmail.com claim. OWLS
13 identified 28 email accounts that were used to submit claims using the same IP address
14 used to submit a sandytangy58@gmail.com claim.

15 7. Third, I identified email addresses that were stored within the
16 sandytangy58@gmail.com account, which I obtained through a search warrant. The
17 sandytangy58@gmail.com account contained numerous lists of stolen personal
18 identifying information (such as a person’s name, date of birth, and Social Security
19 number). Often those lists of information also included an email address, which was
20 sometimes a variation of the sandytangy58@gmail.com address and sometimes a
21 different email address.

22 8. Finally, I identified email accounts that submitted claims using the same
23 destination bank account as claims submitted using the sandytangy58@gmail.com. That
24 is, I identified email accounts where the claimant requested that benefits be paid into a
25 bank account that was also used to receive benefits for a fraudulent claim submitted using
26 the sandytangy58@gmail.com account. To identify the accounts, I submitted to DOL-
27 OIG a list of bank accounts into which the fraudulent sandytangy58@gmail.com claims
28 had been deposited. I requested that DOL-OIG run an OWLS search to identify other

1 | claims that used these same bank accounts as destination accounts to receive benefit
2 | payments. DOL-OIG provided me with the claims data for these claims, which included
3 | the email accounts associated with the claims. I identified 16 email accounts that
4 | submitted claims using a common destination bank account with a
5 | sandytangy58@gmail.claim.

6 | 9. Based on the investigation described above, I generated list of email
7 | accounts that met at least two of the following criteria: (a) the accounts were linked by
8 | cookies as discussed above on Paragraph 5; (b) the account shared a common IP address
9 | with sandytangy58@gmail.com, as discussed in Paragraph 6; or (c) the account was
10 | referenced in a document maintained in the sandytangy58@gmail.com email account, as
11 | discussed in Paragraph 7. Because each of these three criteria standing alone is strongly
12 | indicative that the account was operated by Rufai or a co-conspirator, I concluded that it
13 | was reasonably certain that, if at least *two* criteria were satisfied, the account was
14 | operated by Rufai or a co-conspirator. In addition, I added to this list the 16 accounts that
15 | shared a common destination bank account with a fraudulent sandytangy58@gmail.com
16 | claim. I believed that this criterion, standing on its own, was sufficient to establish that
17 | the claim was filed by Rufai or a co-conspirator because the odds of two claims being
18 | directed to the same account by coincidence are astronomically low. Exhibit B is a true
19 | and correct copy of a list I prepared identifying the additional email accounts that I
20 | believe, based on this analysis, were operated by Rufai or a co-conspirator.

21 | 10. I then submitted the email accounts listed on Exhibit B to DOL-OIG. I
22 | requested that DOL-OIG query the OWLS database for all fraudulent claims submitted
23 | using these email accounts. A true and correct copy of the DOL-OIG memorandum
24 | summarizing the results is attached as Exhibit C. As reflected in the report, the database
25 | indicated that these email addresses were used to submit a total of 89 claims, resulting in
26 | a total payout of \$128,931.

27 | I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that
28 | the foregoing is true and correct.

1 Dated: September 13, 2022.

2
3 

4 ANDREA L. DESANTO
5 Special Agent, Federal Bureau of Investigation
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

U.S. Department of Labor

Office of Inspector General
Office of Investigations Labor Racketeering and Fraud
200 Constitution Avenue, Suite S - 5022
Washington, D.C. 20210



May 12, 2021

REPORT FOR: Seth Wilkinson, Assistant United States Attorney

FROM: Timothy Eagle, Branch Chief of Data Science

CASE NAME: Washington Pandemic UI & ID Theft

CASE NUMBER: I209000022

Unemployment Insurance Claim Email Analysis Report

Overview & Request

On May 12, 2021, Assistant United States Attorney Seth Wilkinson (AUSA Wilkinson) requested various information about Unemployment Insurance (UI) Claims filed with the email address SANDYTANGY58@GMAIL.COM. Specifically, AUSA Wilkinson requested the number of claims filed with this email, the number of states, and the total amount of UI Benefits paid.

Methodology

The OIG queried the OIG Warehouse and Learning System (OWLS) for all UI claims filed using the email address SANDYTANGY58@GMAIL.COM. The OIG then extracted relevant claims data and summarized to find the needed information.

Data Analyzed

Pursuant to its subpoena authority under the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Office of Inspector General (OIG) has obtained unemployment insurance (UI) claim and wage information from all 54 state workforce agencies (SWAs). Although SWAs administer their own separate UI benefit programs, they do so under a framework of federal regulations overseen by DOL. The OIG investigates fraud, waste, and abuse in DOL programs and operations, including federal-state UI programs.

Since 2020, the OIG has received from SWAs by subpoena and data sharing agreements certain data elements related to UI claims for regular and enhanced pandemic relief UI benefits. In general, the SWAs produced datasets to DOL-OIG through encrypted transfer, and OIG data scientists loaded datasets into the OIG's secure data warehouse. In addition to bulk data transfers, certain SWAs equipped OIG special agents with direct read-only access to their UI claims processing portals to aid the SWAs with fraud detection.

Once data is received, OIG loads the raw data into a read-only database, taking measures to ensure data remains consistent with SWA datasets. If the OIG notices minor anomalies with the data, OIG data scientists contact the SWA to ensure receipt of complete data elements, and the

SWA retransmits their data if necessary. DOL-OIG then processes and summarizes the SWA data to a claimant-level for each SWA and combines the data into a nation-wide UI database. OIG Data Scientists (OIG-DSs) and Special Agents (SAs) with a need-to-access the application are then able to access this data in a read only manner to do commonality testing on various fraud indicators, as well as cross match it against other data sets. By accessing the information in read-only format, OIG prevents inadvertent errors and ensures the integrity of all SWAs' data. This activity furthers active investigations and it also generates new leads for OIG and its federal and state law enforcement partners.

Analysis/Findings

The OIG found the following information:

Filing State	Number of Claims	Total Benefits Paid
HI	1	
ME	2	
MI	18	\$14,980.00
MO	1	
MT	2	
NY	4	\$2,208.00
OH	1	
PA	2	
WA	102	\$350,763.00
WI	1	
WY	2	
Grand Total	136	\$367,951.00

Table 1 - UI Claims for SANDYTANGY58@gmail.com

SANDYTANGY58@GMAIL.COM was used to file 136 claims in 11 different states. This email address was only paid benefits in three states, Michigan, New York and Washington. It was determined this email received \$367,951 in UI benefits. These claims filed between April 25, 2020 and October 3, 2020.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions about this analysis.

Attachments:

- SANDYTANGY58_20210521.xlsx – Spreadsheets showing RAW claims data from OWLS and analysis pivot table
- Affidavit Language Excerpt – Language that can be used in affidavits and other documents to describe the UI data in DOL-OIG's possession.

EXHIBIT B

U.S. Department of Labor

Office of Inspector General
Office of Investigations Labor Racketeering and Fraud
200 Constitution Avenue, Suite S - 5022
Washington, D.C. 20210



January 25, 2024

REPORT FOR: David Yip

FROM: Kathryn Bolton, Data Science Fellow

CASE NAME: Washington Pandemic UI & ID Theft

CASE NUMBER: I209000022

Unemployment Insurance Claim Email Analysis Report

Overview & Request

On January 13, 2022, Special Agent David Yip requested various information about Unemployment Insurance (UI) Claims filed with a given list of email addresses, and asked for a breakdown analysis of the resulting matches.

Methodology

The OIG queried the OIG Warehouse and Learning System (OWLS) for all UI claims filed using any of the email addresses provided. The OIG then extracted relevant claims data and summarized to find the needed information.

Data Analyzed

Pursuant to its subpoena authority under the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Office of Inspector General (OIG) has obtained unemployment insurance (UI) claim and wage information from all 54 state workforce agencies (SWAs). Although SWAs administer their own separate UI benefit programs, they do so under a framework of federal regulations overseen by DOL. The OIG investigates fraud, waste, and abuse in DOL programs and operations, including federal-state UI programs.

Since 2020, the OIG has received from SWAs by subpoena and data sharing agreements certain data elements related to UI claims for regular and enhanced pandemic relief UI benefits. In general, the SWAs produced datasets to DOL-OIG through encrypted transfer, and OIG data scientists loaded datasets into the OIG's secure data warehouse. In addition to bulk data transfers, certain SWAs equipped OIG special agents with direct read-only access to their UI claims processing portals to aid the SWAs with fraud detection.

Once data is received, OIG loads the raw data into a read-only database, taking measures to ensure data remains consistent with SWA datasets. If the OIG notices minor anomalies with the data, OIG data scientists contact the SWA to ensure receipt of complete data elements, and the SWA retransmits their data if necessary. DOL-OIG then processes and summarizes the SWA

data to a claimant-level for each SWA and combines the data into a nation-wide UI database. OIG Data Scientists (OIG-DSs) and Special Agents (SAs) with a need-to-access the application are then able to access this data in a read only manner to do commonality testing on various fraud indicators, as well as cross match it against other data sets. By accessing the information in read-only format, OIG prevents inadvertent errors and ensures the integrity of all SWAs' data. This activity furthers active investigations and it also generates new leads for OIG and its federal and state law enforcement partners.

Analysis/Findings

The OIG found the following information, sorted by the benefit sum:

Email	Count of claims	Sum of benefit paid
RICKYGARYY7@GMAIL.COM	8	\$ 21,482
MA	1	
MN	2	
NY	4	\$ 18,350
RI	1	\$ 3,132
VABEH23048@AGILEKZ.COM	2	\$ 8,756
AZ	2	\$ 8,756
RISSERSPOULTRY@BIRDLOVER.COM	1	\$ 8,420
MI	1	\$ 8,420
TAXFORCPA@GMAIL.COM	2	\$ 8,205
ME	1	\$ 8,205
WA	1	
LAURIBAIRD196@GMAIL.COM	1	\$ 7,696
MI	1	\$ 7,696
STATEWORKER909@MAIL.COM	5	\$ 6,995
MN	4	\$ 5,243
NY	1	\$ 1,752
AMICKFARMS@BIRDLOVER.COM	1	\$ 6,560
MI	1	\$ 6,560
NELSONFARRMSY@GMAIL.COM	3	\$ 6,560
ME	1	
MI	1	\$ 6,560
WA	1	
SHAWNPERIO1980@HOTMAIL.COM	1	\$ 5,970
AZ	1	\$ 5,970
CHARISMALEADER03@MAIL.COM	3	\$ 4,888
MN	2	\$ 2,680
NY	1	\$ 2,208
STATEWORKER90@MAIL.COM	3	\$ 4,639
MN	2	\$ 2,431
NY	1	\$ 2,208

COLEMANAGRIC16@GMAIL.COM	2	\$	3,548
MN	1	\$	1,340
NY	1	\$	2,208
CENTURIONPOULTRY04@GMAIL.COM	1	\$	2,208
NY	1	\$	2,208
AGRICULTUREATLANTIC@GMAIL.COM	2	\$	2,208
MN	1		
NY	1	\$	2,208
BRAINMASSEY@MAIL.COM	5	\$	2,208
AZ	1		
HI	1		
MI	1		
NY	1	\$	2,208
WV	1		
ZORNSPOULTRYFARMS@BIRDLOVER.COM	3	\$	2,208
AZ	1		
MI	1		
NY	1	\$	2,208
GERBERSPOULTRY@GMAIL.COM	1	\$	2,208
NY	1	\$	2,208
OLIVERASSOCPA@GMAIL.COM	3	\$	2,208
MA	1		
NY	1	\$	2,208
WA	1		
TENTHYLICENSEY@MAIL.COM	4	\$	2,208
AZ	2		
MI	1		
NY	1	\$	2,208
REDSTATEWATE@MAIL.COM	1	\$	2,208
NY	1	\$	2,208
JEFFWATTERS900@MAIL.COM	1	\$	2,208
NY	1	\$	2,208
CB.KIRSCHNERR@GMAIL.COM	2	\$	2,208
NY	1	\$	2,208
WA	1		
NINTHEYLICENSE@MAIL.COM	1	\$	2,208
NY	1	\$	2,208
RSHEP2002@AOL.COM	3	\$	2,163
MN	1	\$	1,273
NY	1	\$	890
WI	1		

DOCTORS DUTY07@MAIL.COM	1	\$	2,018
NY	1	\$	2,018
ROBOTOMASSEY@MAIL.COM	1	\$	1,546
NY	1	\$	1,546
SENATESUNNEY@MAIL.COM	3	\$	1,104
MI	1		
NY	1	\$	1,104
WV	1		
SWEDENCONSERVET@MAIL.COM	1	\$	1,104
NY	1	\$	1,104
ROBOTOSUNNEY@MAIL.COM	4	\$	1,104
AZ	1		
MI	1		
NY	1	\$	1,104
WV	1		
WILLYCIEP@GMAIL.COM	1	\$	1,104
NY	1	\$	1,104
WESLEYTHOMM@GMAIL.COM	1	\$	782
NY	1	\$	782
NELSONSFAMILYFARMS@GMAIL.COM	1		
ME	1		
RMAIZEY09@GMAIL.COM	1		
AZ	1		
WATKINSPOULTRYMERCHANTS@BIRDLOVER.COM	1		
MI	1		
JCORINA817@GMAIL.COM	1		
AZ	1		
MARKLUTHY1969@GMAIL.COM	1		
AZ	1		
ANNEZLEMM@GMAIL.COM	2		
AZ	1		
MI	1		
FIFTHLICENSEY@MAIL.COM	2		
AZ	1		
MI	1		
VANCEB916@GMAIL.COM	1		
AZ	1		
JUMPERSUNNEY@MAIL.COM	2		
AZ	1		
MI	1		
JESSICA140JOSEPH@GMAIL.COM	2		

CA	1	
MA	1	
DALESDAU@MAIL.COM	1	
MT	1	
JOHNSANDYTANGY@GMAIL.COM	1	
WI	1	
ASHLEYKEMMEY@GMAIL.COM	1	
WI	1	
SIGMANLICENSE@MAIL.COM	1	
MI	1	
Grand Total	89	\$ 128,932

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions about this analysis.

Attachments:

- 22-168_UImatch_01.14.22.xlsx – Spreadsheets showing RAW claims data from OWLS and analysis pivot table

EXHIBIT C

CLMNT_EMAIL		ACCOUNTS LINKED BY COOKIES TO SANDYTANG	COMMON DESTINATION ACCOUNT	COMMON IP ADDRESS	REFERENCED IN SW RETURN
1	LAURIBAIRD196()GMAIL.COM				
2	NELSONFARMMSY()GMAIL.COM				
3	NELSONSFAMILYFARMS()GMAIL.COM				
4	AGRICULTUREATLANTIC()GMAIL.COM				
5	ANNEZLEMM()GMAIL.COM				
6	MARKLUTHY1969()GMAIL.COM				
7	RMAIZEY09()GMAIL.COM				
8	TAXFORCPA()GMAIL.COM				
9	VANCEB916()GMAIL.COM				
10	AMICKFARMS()BIRDLOVER.COM				
11	RISSERSPOULTRY()BIRDLOVER.COM				
12	ROBOTOMASSEY()MAIL.COM				
13	ROBOTOSUNNEY()MAIL.COM				
14	SENATESUNNEY()MAIL.COM				
15	FIFTHLICENSEY()MAIL.COM				
16	BRAINMASSEY()MAIL.COM				
17	CHARISMALEADER03()MAIL.COM				
18	DOCTORS DUTY07()MAIL.COM				
19	JEFFWATTERS900()MAIL.COM				
20	JUMPER SUNNEY()MAIL.COM				
21	NINTHEYLICENSEY()MAIL.COM				
22	REDSTATEWATE()MAIL.COM				
23	RSHEP2002()AOL.COM				
24	STATEWORKER90()MAIL.COM				
25	STATEWORKER909()MAIL.COM				
26	SWEDENCONSERVET()MAIL.COM				
27	TENTHYLICENSEY()MAIL.COM				
28	ZORNSPOULTRYFARMS()BIRDLOVER.COM				
29	BRAINHEAYLEY()MAIL.COM				
30	JKONNEY02()MAIL.COM				
31	JWATTERRY()MAIL.COM				
32	WATKINSPOULTRYMERCHANTS()BIRDLOVER.COM				
33	DALESDAU()MAIL.COM				
34	JCORINA817()GMAIL.COM				
35	JESSICA140JOSEPH()GMAIL.COM				
36	RICKYGARYY7()GMAIL.COM				
37	SHAWNPERIO1980()HOTMAIL.COM				
38	SIGMANLICENSEY()MAIL.COM				
39	VABEH23048()JAGILEKZ.COM				
40	amanda.grist()ommsco.co.uk				
41	ashleykemmey()gmail.com				
42	cb.kirschnerr()gmail.com				
43	centurionpoultry04()gmail.com				
44	colemanagric16()gmail.com				
45	dfredrichey()gmail.com				
46	gerberspoultry()gmail.com				
47	hannah.starling()blakeeturner.com				
48	jackiesulich75()gmail.com				
49	janebruehlss()gmail.com				
50	johnsandytangy()gmail.com				
51	jralphy009()gmail.com				
52	kauffmanfarms258()gmail.com				
53	kbailley909()gmail.com				
54	kdunney90()gmail.com				
55	lauribaird1960()gmail.com				
56	mwasshey98()gmail.com				
57	oliverassocpa()gmail.com				
58	rhammany89()gmail.com				

59	richard.green()ommsco.co.uk				
60	stboggman()gmail.com				
61	tkiernnan()gmail.com				
62	wesleythomm()gmail.com				
63	willowtreepoultryfarm()gmail.com				
64	willyciep()gmail.com				